

| | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|---|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. 09/912,227 | Applicant(s) HAMALAINEN, SEPO |
| | Examiner Brian D. Nguyen | Art Unit 2661 |

All Participants:

(1) Brian D. Nguyen.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) James Retter.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 2 November 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

34 and 35

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

To clarify claims 34 and 35 as set forth in the examiner's amendment.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)